

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/682,017	RUEGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah J. Chisdes	2877	

All Participants:

(1) Sarah J. Chisdes.

(2) John Rariden.

Status of Application: new application

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 May 2005

Time: 4pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 22, 30, 38, 39

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Minor changes were discussed to correct and clarify language in the abstract, specification, and claims, as detailed in the Examiners Amendment in order to put the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Gregory D. Tiedley, Jr.
 Supervisor/Patent Examiner
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)